20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027		
2	JJP@pisanellibice.com M. Magali Mercera, Esq., Bar No. 11742		
_	MMM@pisanellibice.com		
3	PISANELLI BICE PLLC		
	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
5	Telephone: 702.214.2100		
5	William C. O'Neil (admitted pro hac vice)		
6	woneil@winston.com		
_	Jeffrey J. Huelskamp (admitted pro hac vice)		
7	jhuelskamp@winston.com		
8	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive		
0	Chicago, Illinois 60601-9703		
9	Telephone: 312-558-5600		
10	Attorneys for Defendant The Hertz Corporation		
11	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT CO	OURT
12	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA	
13	JILL HARRISON, an Individual,	CASE NO.:	2:24-cv-00502-GMN-BNW
14	Plaintiff,		
1,-	V.	CENTRAL	ATION AND IDDODOCEDI
15	THE HERTZ CORPORATION, a Delaware	OPDED	ATION AND [PROPOSED] TO EXTEND DISCOVERY
16	Corporation, DOES I - X, Inclusive, and ROE	ORDER	TO EXTEND DISCOVERT
	Corporations I - X, Inclusive,		(First Request)
17			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	Defendants.		
18			

Defendant The Hertz Corporation ("Hertz") and Plaintiff Jill Harrison ("Harrison") by and through their undersigned counsel of record, request an order modifying the parties' Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 14). This is the first stipulation to extend discovery. In compliance with LR IA 6-1, the parties submit as follows:

## I. <u>STATEMENT OF DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE</u>

- Hertz served its initial disclosures on July 3, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her initial disclosures on July 15, 2024.
- Hertz served its First Interrogatories to Plaintiff Jill Harrison (Interrogatory No. 1 through No. 10) on July 15, 2024.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

1

2

•	Hertz served	its First	Set	of Requests	for	Production	to	Plaintiff	Jill	Harrison
	(Interrogatory	No. 1 th	rough	No. 12) on	July	15, 2024.				

- Plaintiff served her Responses to Hertz's First Set of Interrogatories on August 28,
   2024.
- Plaintiff served her Responses to Hertz's Requests for Production of Documents on August 28, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her First Supplement to FRCP 26 List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Evidence on August 28, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her Supplemental Responses to Hertz's First Set of Requests for Production to Plaintiff Jill Harrison (Interrogatory No. 1 through No. 12) on November 20, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her Supplemental Responses to Hertz's First Interrogatories to Plaintiff Jill Harrison (Interrogatory No. 1 through No. 10) on November 20, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her Second Supplement to FRCP 26 List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Evidence on November 20, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her Third Supplement to FRCP 26 List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Evidence on December 6, 2024.
- Hertz served its Second Interrogatories to Plaintiff Jill Harrison (Interrogatory No. 11 through No. 14) on December 18, 2024.
- Hertz served its Second Set of Requests for Production to Plaintiff Jill Harrison (Request No. 13 through 17) on December 18, 2024.
- Hertz served its Notice of Videotaped Deposition of Jill Harrison on December 18,
   2024.
- Plaintiff served her Fourth Supplement to FRCP 26 List of Witnesses, Production of Documents and Evidence on December 23, 2024.
- Plaintiff served her Notice of Taking the Deposition of Ki'Yana Scott on January 7,
   2025.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff served her Notice of Taking the Deposition of Amanda Stover on January 7, 2025.

#### II. SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE COMPLETED

The parties anticipate taking the depositions of Jill Harrison, Ki'Yana Scott, and Amanda Stover. Those depositions are all presently scheduled for January 21, 2025, but are being vacated to allow the parties to engage in settlement discussions.

### III. DISCOVERY REMAINING CANNOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS SET BY THE DISCOVERY PLAN

Over the past few days, the parties have engaged in settlement discussions. The parties have agreed to extend the discovery cut-off by two months, to March 21, 2025 to allow the parties additional time to explore the possibility of settlement before incurring the additional expenses of completed the remaining depositions.

#### IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING REMAINING DISCOVERY

The parties have agreed to a discovery cut-off date of March 21, 2025 with corresponding deadlines as follows:

	<b>Current Deadline</b>	<b>Proposed Deadline</b>
Discovery Cut-off	January 21, 2025	March 21, 2025
Amend Pleadings/Add Parties	October 23, 2024	No Change
<b>Expert Disclosures</b>	November 22, 2024	No Change
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	December 23, 2024	No Change
<b>Dispositive Motions</b>	February 20, 2025	April 21, 2025
Pre-Trial Order	March 23, 2025	May 23, 2025

If dispositive motions are filed, the joint pretrial order shall be due thirty (30) days from the entry of the Court's rulings on the motions or by further order of the Court. See LR 26-1(b)(5).

1

4

5

67

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

## V. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO EXTEND TIME TO COMPLETE DISCOVERY

A stipulation to extend discovery deadlines must be supported by a showing of good cause. Branch Banking & Tr. Co. v. D.M.S.I., LLC, 871 F.3d 751, 764 (9th Cir. 2017). "The good cause inquiry focuses primarily on the [parties'] diligence." Derosa v. Blood Sys., Inc., No. 2:13-CV-0137-JCM-NJK, 2013 WL 3975764, at \*1 (D. Nev. Aug. 1, 2013) (citation omitted). If, despite the parties' diligence, discovery cannot reasonably be completed within the deadlines, good cause to extend discovery exists. Zivkovic v. S. Cal. Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002). Where an extension is requested less than twenty-one (21) days before expiration of a deadline, a showing of excusable neglect must be made. LR IA 6-1, Derosa, 2013 WL 3975764, at \*1. "[W]hether neglect is excusable is an equitable [question] that depends on at least four factors: (1) the danger of prejudice to the opposing party; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay; and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith." Bateman v. U.S. Postal Serv., 231 F.3d 1220, 1223-24 (9th Cir. 2000); Derosa, 2013 WL 3975764, at \*1 (applying Rule 60(b)(1)'s definition of excusable neglect to LR 26-4).

The parties have been diligent in pursuing discovery and any neglect assigned to the parties is excusable. First, there is no danger of prejudice. Both parties agree that it is in their best interests to extend the discovery cut-off to explore the possibility of settlement before additional fees and expenses are incurred. Second, the length of the delay will not substantively impact the proceedings as the parties will continue to actively conduct discovery should settlement discussions fail. Third, the parties' delay in submitting the stipulation is excusable. The parties have been actively engaged in discovery and only recently engaged in discussions to potentially resolve this matter.

1	This proposed Stipulation and Orde	er to extend deadlines for discovery is made in good
2	faith, with good cause, and not for purposes	of unduly delaying discovery or trial. Therefore, the
3	parties respectfully request that this Court gr	cant the requested discovery extension.
4	DATED this 21st day of January, 2025.	DATED this 21st day of January, 2025.
5	PISANELLI BICE PLLC	RYAN ALEXANDER, CHTD.
6	By: <u>/s/ M. Magali Mercera</u> James J. Pisanelli, Esq., #4027	By: <u>/s/ Ryan Alexander</u> Ryan Alexander, Esq., #10845
7	M. Magali Mercera, Esq., #11742 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300	Richard A. Englemann, Esq., #6965 3017 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 10
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Las Vegas, Nv 89102
9	and	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	William C. O'Neil ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Jeffrey J. Huelskamp ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
11	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive	
12	Chicago, Illinois 60601-9703	
13	Attorneys for Defendant The Hertz Corpora	tion
14		
15		ORDER
15 16		<u>ORDER</u>
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	<u>ORDER</u>
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Berbucken
16 17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16 17 18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Berbucken
16 17 18 19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025
16 17 18 19 20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  DATED: January 22, 2025